WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

V.	
Jesus Manuel Valenzuela-Trejo	Case Number: 13-7151m
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), the issue of detention has been submitted to the Court. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. FINDINGS OF FACT	
find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	
The defendant is not a citizen of the United S	tates or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
The defendant, at the time of the charged offer	ense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant faces removed. Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdeported or otherwise removed.	ral proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs sdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been
The defendant has no significant contacts in t	he United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the United calculated to assure his/her future appearance	d States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably e.
The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has substantial family ties to Mexico.	as no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
There is a record of prior failure to appear in o	court as ordered.
The defendant attempted to evade law enforce	cement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will	
DIRECTIONS RE	GARDING DETENTION
in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorn facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marsha	rney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody in e opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On the development, the person in charge of the corrections all for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court
proceeding. APPEALS AND TI	HIRD PARTY RELEASE
to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Policy District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effect from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral	on order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility retrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the tive December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days I order is stated on the record within which to file specific written in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.	I party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to
DATE: <u>5/20/13</u>	Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge